

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
(09/383,669	08/26/99	HALL		А	98-2	2006	
Γ				\neg		EXAMINE	R	
;	IVAR M KAAR KAARDAL & A 3500 SOUTH SUITE 250 SIOUX FALLS	SSOCIATES FIRST AVE	PC CIRCLE	82/0220	ART UNI 3612 DATE MAILE	ED:	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	<u>.e</u> `								
	, O	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
₄ ∀ ∆dviso	ry Action	09/383,669	HALL ET AL.						
y Adviso	ly Addon	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Patricia L Engle	3612						
The MAILING DA	NTE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address						
Therefore, further action b final rejection under 37 CF condition for allowance; (2	by the applicant is required to a FR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (b) a timely filed Notice of Appe apliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	E THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this applicable and the same of this application at the same of the	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in						
		EPLY [check only a) or b)]							
b) In view of the early sub	ailing date of this Advisory Action, OR on event, however, will the statutory peri	of the final rejection. If wo months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 If wontinues to run from the mailing date of the odd for reply expire later than SIX MONTH	ne final rejection,						
have been filed is the date for purp 37 CFR 1 17(a) is calculated from	poses of determining the period of extent (1) the expiration date of the shortened eceived by the Office later than three man	d statutory period for reply originally set in	136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amerwith requisite fees.	ndment(s) will be entered upor	n the timely submission of a Not	ice of Appeal and Appeal Brief						
·	ndment(s) will not be entered b	pecause:							
(a) they raise new	issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search.	(see NOTE below);						
` ' — '	(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ they present a	dditional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.						
	s overcome the following rejec	tion(s):							
5. Newly proposed or canceling the non-a		d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment						
6.⊠ The a) affidavit, application in cond	☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .								
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
-		is as follows (see attached write	ten explanation, if any):						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected t									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawr	from consideration:								
9. The proposed draw	The proposed drawing correction filed on a) □has b) □ has not been approved by the Examiner.								
10. Note the attached	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
11. Other:		Da	mid Kelly						
Ple		 -	NNIS H. PEDDER						
2-10-01			MARY EXAMINER 2/16/01						
S. Patent and Trademark Office									





Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 8 has not overcome the 35USC 103(a) rejection over Beals as modified by Reardon and in further view of Jordan.